

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/535,414	SHARMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> STEVEN C. POHNERT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** pending(1) STEVEN C. POHNERT.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tu Phan Kerr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 May 2010**Time:** 2:30**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Sequence compliance and enablemnt*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss alignments submitted 3/11/2010 and the differences indicated. The examiner further appraised the representative of enablement issues with the instant amendment. No agreement's on patentability was reached..